IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)
WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR
PRESENT
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE GODA RAGHURAM
WRIT PETITION NO : 15739 of 2001

Between:

1. T. Chandra Babu s/o T. Ramatheertham, Aged: 43 years, Junior Library Assistant Grade-II, Book Bank, S.V.U. College of Engineer, Tirupathi.
2. R. Lalitha Kumari w/o G.V. Sambasivam, Aged: 36 years, Junior Library Assistant, Grade-II S.V. University Library, Tirupathi.
3. P. Rajeswari w/o G. Subramanyam Naidu, Aged: 36 years, Junior Library Assistant Grade-II, S.V. University Campus School, Tirupathi.
4. N. Sumathi w/o K. Manohar Naidu, Aged: 35 years, Junior Library Assistant Grade-II, Book Bank, S.V.U. College of Engineering, Tirupathi.

.....
PETITIONERS

AND

Sri Venkateswara Universsity, Tirupathi, Chittor dsistrict, rep., by its Registrar.

.....RESPONDENT

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the respondent in not considering the case of the petitioners for appointment by transfer to the post of Library Assistant Grade-I in the existing vacancies as arbitrary, discriminatory and violative of Article 14 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents to appoint the petitioners on transfer to the post of Library Assistant Grade-I in the existing vacancies in the respondent-University, as had been done in respect of Sri S. Raja Mohan, Junior Library Assistant vide Memo. E-1-6/86 dated 07-1-1986 and also set aside the Memo No. E.I(6)/2000 dated 25-11-2000 issued by

the respondent, with all other consequential benefits, monetary and otherwise.

Counsel for the Petitioners: MR.V.JAGAPATHI

Counsel for the Respondent No.: MR.B.ADINARAYANA RAO

The Court Made the Following :

Learned counsel for the petitioners filed a letter dated 18-06-2004 to the effect that the petitioners instructed him to withdraw the writ petition with liberty to file the writ petition afresh, if necessary. Permission is accorded. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as 'withdrawn' preserving liberty to the petitioners to file writ petition afresh, if necessary. No costs.

_____

GODA RAGHURAM, J

Pvks/DRK

To

1. The Registrar, Sri Venkateswara University, Tirupathi, Chittor district,

2.2CD copies